

Exemplar Extended Essay

EXAMINER CODE EXAMINER NAME:

SUBJECT:

--	--	--	--

 iology..... SESSION : May 2004

Category and candidate number							
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

General assessment criteria <i>Refer to the general criteria</i>	ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL	Comments
A research question	2	A clearly stated and sharply focused research question.
B approach	1	A somewhat routine approach. The dependent variable is not measured or evaluated precisely. A more selective approach to the independent variables would have resulted in a more effective study.
C analysis/interpretation	2	The graphs presented are simply a representation of the raw data with little or no attempt at manipulation. There is no attempt at statistical analysis and the interpretation is largely descriptive.
D argument/evaluation	2	There is no strong argument bringing together the variables investigated. The reader is left to draw his/her own conclusions. There is little attempt at substantiation.
E conclusion	1	The conclusion is not clear and not well substantiated by the data. In effect the findings are overstated.
F abstract	2	All of the required elements are present and are clearly stated.
G formal presentation	2	This is a well structured essay. Tables and graphs are poorly laid out and are sometimes difficult to read. While there is a detailed bibliography there is a lack of detail on the websites accessed.
H holistic judgement	2	There is some evidence of personal engagement and this is supported by the supervisor's comments. Other qualities do not come over strongly.
TOTAL OUT OF 24	14	

Subject assessment criteria <i>Refer to the subject guidelines</i>	ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL	Comments
Criterion J	2	A biological focus is maintained throughout the essay. A deeper understanding is not evident especially since important variables are not investigated thoroughly.
Criterion K	2	The sources and methods are relevant but somewhat routine. There is no evidence of a personal approach to their application.
Criterion L	2	There is some critical evaluation of the work but major weaknesses are overlooked or dealt with superficially.
Criterion M		
TOTAL OUT OF 12		