

Exemplar Extended Essay

EXAMINER CODE

--	--	--	--

EXAMINER NAME:

SUBJECT: GROUP 2 ENGLISH LANGUAGE B EE..... SESSION : May 2004

Category and candidate number								
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

General assessment criteria <i>Refer to the general criteria</i>	ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL	Comments
A research question	2	The RQ appears broad but is defined and narrowed as it is developed. It could be better articulated by using the culture concept explicitly in the question.
B approach	2	On the whole the approach is appropriate. There is a struggle to define the question, but in the end it works.
C analysis/interpretation	3	The analysis is good. At times, broad generalisations are made without sufficient supporting material, but there is a good selection of events and characters analysed.
D argument/evaluation	3	Connected to the analysis, the argument revolves around the conflict between “culture and nature”. It is competent though there are some places that seem a bit stretched.
E conclusion	2	Points are summarised and the greater question of man’s future relationship with nature is implied. Relevant closure is achieved.
F abstract	2	All elements, RQ, scope and conclusions are clearly present though the scope seems broad. Word count should be included.
G formal presentation	1	TOC is poor. Questionable in text reference formatting. The bibliography is acceptable.
H holistic judgement	3	The paper is not without its problems, but it is obvious the candidate has done some serious thinking about the subject that goes beyond the superficial. The supervisor appears positive.
TOTAL OUT OF 24	18	Though not perfect, this is a good example of including all the elements for reasonable marks. The number of hours of supervision is highly inappropriate. Se EE guide (rec. 2-3 hrs).

Subject assessment criteria <i>Refer to the subject guidelines</i>	ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL	Comments
Criterion J	3	The candidate has a good grasp of the material and attempts to interpret London's intentions though not always effectively.
Criterion K	3	An interesting point of view is developed though not always supported as well as it should be.
Criterion L	3	The overall register and style are appropriate. The candidate communicates effectively despite a few lapses in logic and clarity.
Criterion M	N/A	
TOTAL OUT OF 12	9	The language and style is appropriate and presents no serious problem to communication.